

Surgical treatment of genital prolapse and urinary incontinence

Despite of long pathway of evolve coming from open abdominal and vaginal interventions based on native tissue repair to mini-invasive approaches and sling or mesh usage, which expand application area and success rates, nowadays, no exact consensus is found in genital prolapse (GP) and stress urinary incontinence (SUI) surgery. The fast-changing course of opinions based on high rates of expectations and complications are being exaggerated and can dismay any gynecologist meeting this adjacent urogynecological sphere. Alike a novice sailor fears the sea for the first time seeing a storm, newcoming surgeons afraid to use the synthetic mesh or sling, hearing about the prevalence of specific complications. However, with growing experience, trained mariners may not go ashore for years, just as experienced surgeons can choose wisely, whether any type of GP or SUI surgery is reasonable and reach more grateful result with minimal disappointment.

This special series includes 5 articles focusing on different interventions and approaches for the correction of pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence. The authors of issues are experienced surgeons and scientists having close contact with the development of that kind of surgery. Our main purpose is to show our readers and colleagues our experience and clarify the application area of some interventions in urogynecological surgery. We assure, that it will help to see the silver lining in this changeability time.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Gynecology and Pelvic Medicine* for the series “Surgical Treatment of Genital Prolapse and Urinary Incontinence”. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gpm-21-26>). The series “Surgical Treatment of Genital Prolapse and Urinary Incontinence” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. AP served as an unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Gynecology and Pelvic Medicine* from Aug 2020 to Jul 2022. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.



Alexander Popov

Prof. Alexander Popov

*Department of Operative Gynecology and Oncogynecology with Day Hospital,
Moscow Regional Scientific Research Institute of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Moscow, Russian Federation. (Email: gyn_endoscopy@mail.ru)*

Received: 26 March 2021; Accepted: 06 April 2021.

doi: 10.21037/gpm-21-26

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gpm-21-26>

doi: 10.21037/gpm-21-26

Cite this article as: Popov A. Surgical treatment of genital prolapse and urinary incontinence. *Gynecol Pelvic Med* 2021.